

On Certain Extremal Problems Concerning Polynomials

YING-GUANG SHI*

*Computing Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
P. O. Box 2719, Beijing, China*

Communicated by T. J. Rivlin

Received March 7, 1986

Certain extremal problems concerning polynomials that have restricted ranges with a node are investigated. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

I

Let $\{u_i\}_0^n$ be a Chebyshev system on $[a, b]$ and let $U = \text{span}\{u_i\}_0^n$. Let $f, g \in C[a, b]$ satisfy $f > g$. Given $t^* \in [a, b]$ and $c \in (g(t^*), f(t^*))$, denote $K = \{u \in U: g \leq u \leq f \text{ and } u(t^*) = c\}$. In this paper we discuss certain extremal problems in K (Section II) and their applications to polynomials (Section III for $t^* = a$ and Section IV for $t^* = 0$).

II

In order to describe our basic results we need

DEFINITION. If there exist $u \in K$ and m points

$$a \leq t_1 < \dots < t_m \leq b$$

satisfying either

(i) $t^* = t_j$ for some j and

$$u(t_{m-i}) = \begin{cases} f(t_{m-i}), & i = 2k + 1 \\ g(t_{m-i}), & i = 2k \end{cases} \quad (i \neq m - j) \quad (1)$$

* Supported by the Science Fund of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

or

(ii) $t^* = t_j$ for some j and

$$u(t_{m-i}) = \begin{cases} f(t_{m-i}), & i = 2k \\ g(t_{m-i}), & i = 2k + 1 \end{cases} \quad (i \neq m - j) \quad (2)$$

then u is said to alternate m times with respect to (g, f) having the node t^* , denoted by $A_1(u) = m$ or $A_2(u) = m$, respectively.

For convenience we write the following lemma which is from [3, p. 61].

LEMMA. Let $\{u_i\}_0^n$ be a Chebyshev system on $[a, b]$ and $u \in U$. If u has $n + 1$ weak sign changes on a set $\{t_1, \dots, t_{n+2}\}$, $a \leq t_1 < \dots < t_{n+2} \leq b$ [4, p. 260], then $u = 0$.

Our basic result, which is an extension of [1, p. 72, Theorem II.10.2], is as follows:

THEOREM 1. Let $\{u_i\}_0^n$ be a Chebyshev system on $[a, b]$ and let $f, g \in C[a, b]$ such that there exists a polynomial $v \in K$ satisfying $f > v > g$. Then there exists a unique polynomial $\bar{u} \in K$ satisfying $A_1(\bar{u}) = n + 1$ and there exists a unique polynomial $\underline{u} \in K$ satisfying $A_2(\underline{u}) = n + 1$.

Proof. The proof of uniqueness may proceed as in Theorem [1, p. 66, II.10.1].

Without loss of generality we assume that $t^* < b$; otherwise for $t^* = b$ we may treat the functions $f^*(T) = f(-T)$ and $g^*(T) = g(-T)$ defined on $[-b, -a]$ and the set $\{u^* \in \text{span}\{u_i(-T)\}_0^n : g^* \leq u^* \leq f^*, u^*(T^*) = c\}$, in which $T^* = -t^* = -b < -a$.

Take

$$g_k^*(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [a, b - (b - a)/k] \\ \text{a linear function,} & t \in [b - (b - a)/k, b] \\ 2 \|f - g\|, & t = b, \end{cases}$$

$$g_k(t) = g(t) - g_k^*(t),$$

$$K_k = \{u \in U : g_k \leq u \leq f, u(t^*) = c\},$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let $v_k \in K_k$ be the best approximation to g_k from K_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then for each k there exist $n + 2$ points [2]

$$a \leq t_1^k < t_2^k < \dots < t_{n+2}^k \leq b$$

such that $t^* = t_{j_k}^k$ and one of the following relations occurs:

$$v_k(t_i^k) = \begin{cases} f(t_i^k) & \text{or } g_k(t_i^k) + \|v_k - g_k\|, & i = 2k' + 1 \\ g_k(t_i^k), & i = 2k' \end{cases} \quad (i \neq j_k) \quad (3)$$

or

$$v_k(t_i^k) = \begin{cases} f(t_i^k) & \text{or } g_k(t_i^k) + \|v_k - g_k\|, & i = 2k' \\ g_k(t_i^k), & i = 2k' + 1 \end{cases} \quad (i \neq j_k). \quad (4)$$

We may assume, selecting a subsequence if necessary, that

- (a) $v_k \rightarrow u$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for some $u \in K$;
- (b) All v_k satisfy the same one of the above two relations, say (3);
- (c) $t_i^k \rightarrow t_i$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $i = 1, \dots, n+2$, $t_{j_k}^k = t_j = t^*$, which satisfy that $t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_{n+2}$.

Assertion 1. If $t_i = t_{i+1}$ for some $i \leq n+1$, then $t_i = t_{i+1} = b$, whence $t_i = \dots = t_{n+2} = b$.

In fact, suppose on the contrary that $t_i = t_{i+1} < b$. For k large enough we have that $g_k(t_i^k) = g(t_i^k)$ and $g_k(t_{i+1}^k) = g(t_{i+1}^k)$. Also it follows from $t_i = t_{i+1}$ that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (v_k(t_i^k) - v_k(t_{i+1}^k)) = 0,$$

whence by (3) either

$$\lim \|v_k - g_k\| = 0, \quad j \in \{i, i+1\}$$

or

$$\lim \|v_k - g_k\| + g(t_i) - c = 0, \quad j \in \{i, i+1\}.$$

But

$$\lim \|v_k - g_k\| \geq \lim (v_k(b) - g_k(b)) = u(b) - g(b) + 2 \|f - g\| \geq 2 \|f - g\|, \quad (5)$$

a contradiction.

Assertion 2.

$$t_1 < \dots < t_{n+1}. \quad (6)$$

By Assertion 1 it suffices to show that $t_n < t_{n+1}$. Suppose not and let $t_n = t_{n+1}$. Then by Assertion 1, $t_n = t_{n+1} = t_{n+2} = b > t^*$. Whence $j < n$ and

$$v_k(t_i^k) = g_k(t_i^k) \quad \text{for some } i, \quad i \geq n+1. \quad (7)$$

For such an index i , by (3) we must have that

$$v_k(t_{i-1}^k) = f(t_{i-1}^k) \quad \text{or} \quad g_k(t_{i-1}^k) + \|v_k - g_k\|. \quad (8)$$

Since $\lim(v_k(t_i^k) - v_k(t_{i-1}^k)) = 0$, it follows from (5), (7), and (8) that either

$$0 = \lim(g_k(t_i^k) - f(t_{i-1}^k)) \leq \lim(g(t_i^k) - f(t_{i-1}^k)) = g(b) - f(b)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim(g_k(t_i^k) - g_k(t_{i-1}^k) - \|v_k - g_k\|) \\ &= \lim(g(t_i^k) - g(t_{i-1}^k)) + \lim(g_k^*(t_{i-1}^k) - g_k^*(t_i^k)) - \lim\|v_k - g_k\| \\ &\leq 0 + 0 - 2\|f - g\| \\ &= -2\|f - g\|. \end{aligned}$$

In any case it will give a contradiction. Thus $t_n \neq t_{n+1}$.

Assertion 3.

$$j \leq n+1. \quad (9)$$

If possible, assume that $j = n+2$, i.e., $t^* = t_{n+2}$. Of course by Assertion 1 and the assumption of $t^* < b$ we have that $t_1 < \dots < t_{n+1} < t_{n+2} = t^*$. For any $w \in K$ we see that $u - w$ has $n+1$ weak sign changes on a set $\{t_1, \dots, t_{n+2}\}$ and $w = u$ by Lemma, a contradiction. The assertion is complete.

By Assertion 3 from (3) and (5) we get that $t^* = t_j$ and

$$u(t_i) = \begin{cases} f(t_i), & i = 2k' + 1 \\ g(t_i), & i = 2k' \end{cases} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n+1, i \neq j),$$

i.e., u satisfies $A_1(u) = n+1$ or $A_2(u) = n+1$. Similarly, if we put that

$$K_k^* = \{u \in U: g \leq u \leq f_k, u(t^*) = c\},$$

where $f_k = f + g_k^*$, we can get $u^* \in K$ satisfying $A_1(u^*) = n+1$ or $A_2(u^*) = n+1$.

Assertion 4. $u \neq u^*$.

First, we note that there are $w_1, w_2 \in K$ such that $w_1(b) < w_2(b)$, for otherwise we have that $w(b) = v(b) = u(b)$ for any $w \in K$, where $f(b) > v(b) > g(b)$, which implies that $t_{n+1} \neq b$ and $u - w$ has $n + 1$ weak sign changes on a set $\{t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}, b\}$. Whence we obtain $w = u$ again, a contradiction. From $w_1(b) < w_2(b)$ it follows that $u(b) \leq w_1(b) < w_2(b) \leq u^*(b)$, i.e., $u \neq u^*$. By Assertion 4 and uniqueness we see that if $\bar{u} = u$ then $\underline{u} = u^*$ or conversely.

COROLLARY 1. *If the function $f(t)$ in Theorem 1 is a polynomial $u(t)$ and $g = 0$, then there exist a unique representation*

$$u(t) = \bar{u}(t) + \underline{u}^*(t)$$

and a unique representation

$$u(t) = \underline{u}(t) + \bar{u}^*(t),$$

where \bar{u} and \underline{u} are defined in Theorem 1 and $A_1(\bar{u}^) = A_2(\underline{u}^*) = n + 1$ with the value $f(t^*) - c$ at t^* instead of c .*

Proof. If f is a polynomial then the function $f(t) - \bar{u}(t)$ obviously satisfies that $A_2(f - \bar{u}) = n + 1$ with the value $f(t^*) - c$ at t^* . So, by uniqueness, $f(t) - \bar{u}(t) = \underline{u}^*(t)$. Similarly, we have another representation $f(t) - \underline{u}(t) = \bar{u}^*(t)$.

THEOREM 2. *Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and further $\{u_i\}_0^n$ is a Chebyshev system on $[a', b'] \supset [a, b]$. Let \bar{u} and \underline{u} be as defined in Theorem 1 and let $u \in U$ satisfy $g(t) \leq u(t) \leq f(t)$ for $t \in [a, b]$.*

(a) *If $(-1)^{n+1-j} u(t^*) \leq (-1)^{n+1-j} c$ and $u \neq \underline{u}$, then*

$$u(t) < \underline{u}(t), \quad t < a \quad \text{or} \quad t > b, \quad n = 2m$$

$$u(t) > \underline{u}(t), \quad t < a, \quad n = 2m + 1$$

$$u(t) < \underline{u}(t), \quad t > b, \quad n = 2m + 1;$$

(b) *If $(-1)^{n+1-j} u(t^*) \geq (-1)^{n+1-j} c$ and $u \neq \bar{u}$, then*

$$u(t) > \bar{u}(t), \quad t < a \quad \text{or} \quad t > b, \quad n = 2m$$

$$u(t) < \bar{u}(t), \quad t < a, \quad n = 2m + 1$$

$$u(t) > \bar{u}(t), \quad t > b, \quad n = 2m + 1.$$

Proof. We present only the proof of (a), the proof of (b) being similar.

Since $A_2(\underline{u}) = n + 1$ and

$$\underline{u}(t_j) - u(t_j) = c - u(t^*) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & n + 1 - j = 2k \\ \leq 0, & n + 1 - j = 2k + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{u}(t_{n+1-i}) - u(t_{n+1-i}) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & i = 2k \\ \leq 0, & i = 2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

Especially

$$\underline{u}(t_1) - u(t_1) \begin{cases} \geq 0, & n = 2m \\ \leq 0, & n = 2m + 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\underline{u}(t_{n+1}) - u(t_{n+1}) \geq 0.$$

Thus if for some $t < a$

$$\underline{u}(t) - u(t) \begin{cases} \leq 0, & n = 2m \\ \geq 0, & n = 2m + 1, \end{cases}$$

then $\underline{u} - u$ has $n + 1$ weak sign changes on a set $\{t, t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}\}$ and $\underline{u} = u$ by Lemma. Similarly, $\underline{u}(t) - u(t) \leq 0$ for some $t > b$ implies $\underline{u} = u$.

COROLLARY 2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 be satisfied and $u \in K$. If $u \neq \bar{u}$ and $u \neq \underline{u}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}(t) < u(t) < \underline{u}(t), & \quad t < a \quad \text{or} \quad t > b, & \quad n = 2m \\ \underline{u}(t) < u(t) < \bar{u}(t), & \quad t < a, & \quad n = 2m + 1 \\ \bar{u}(t) < u(t) < \underline{u}(t), & \quad t > b, & \quad n = 2m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Theorem 2 the corollary is immediate.

COROLLARY 3. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 be satisfied with $c \geq 0$ and $g = -f < f$. Then for any $u \in K$*

$$|u| \leq |u^*|, \quad t < a \quad \text{or} \quad t > b,$$

where

$$u^* = \begin{cases} \underline{u}, & n + 1 - j = 2k \\ \bar{u}, & n + 1 - j = 2k + 1, \end{cases}$$

in which equality can occur if and only if

$$u = \begin{cases} u^*, & c > 0 \\ \pm u^*, & c = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $n+1-j=2k$. Since $-\bar{u}(t^*) = -c \leq c$, $(-1)^{n+1-j}(-\bar{u}(t^*)) \leq (-1)^{n+1-j}c$. By Part (a) of Theorem 2 we obtain that

$$-\bar{u}(t) \begin{cases} \leq \underline{u}(t), & t < a \quad \text{or} \quad t > b, & n = 2m \\ \geq \underline{u}(t), & t < a, & n = 2m + 1 \\ \leq \underline{u}(t), & t > b, & n = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Coupled with Corollary 2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} -\underline{u}(t) \leq \bar{u}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \underline{u}(t), & \quad t < a \quad \text{or} \quad t > b, & n = 2m \\ \underline{u}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \bar{u}(t) \leq -\underline{u}(t), & \quad t < a, & n = 2m + 1 \\ -\underline{u}(t) \leq \bar{u}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \underline{u}(t), & \quad t > b, & n = 2m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

In any case we have that

$$|u(t)| \leq |\underline{u}(t)|, \quad t < a \quad \text{or} \quad t > b,$$

in which equality can occur if and only if $u = \underline{u}$ or $u = -\underline{u} = \bar{u}$. But $-\underline{u} = \bar{u}$ if and only if $c = 0$. Thus the equality in the above inequality can occur if and only if

$$u = \begin{cases} \underline{u}, & c > 0 \\ \pm \underline{u}, & c = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $n+1-j=2k+1$. Since $-\underline{u}(t^*) = -c \leq c$, $(-1)^{n+1-j}(-\underline{u}(t^*)) \geq (-1)^{n+1-j}c$. In the remainder of the proof the same analysis as in the case $n+1-j=2k$ is applicable.

III

As usual $T_n(t)$ denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of degree n of first kind, $n=0, 1, \dots$

THEOREM 3. *Let P be a polynomial of degree at most $n \geq 1$ such that $|P(t)| \leq 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$. Let s_0 and s_1 be the smallest values of t in $[-1, 1)$ for which $T_n(s_0) = c$ and $T_n(s_1) = -c$, respectively ($|c| < 1$).*

(a) If $P(-1) \leq c$, then

$$P(t) \leq T_n\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_0)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_0)\right), \quad |t| > 1, \quad n = 2m$$

$$P(t) \leq -T_n\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_1)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_1)\right), \quad t < -1, \quad n = 2m + 1$$

$$P(t) \geq -T_n\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_1)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_1)\right), \quad t > 1, \quad n = 2m + 1.$$

Any of the equalities occurs for some t if and only if it occurs for any t .

(b) If $P(-1) \geq c$, then

$$P(t) \geq -T_n\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_1)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_1)\right), \quad |t| > 1, \quad n = 2m$$

$$P(t) \geq T_n\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_0)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_0)\right), \quad t < -1, \quad n = 2m + 1$$

$$P(t) \leq T_n\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_0)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_0)\right), \quad t > 1, \quad n = 2m + 1.$$

Any of the equalities occurs for some t if and only if it occurs for any t .

Proof. We present the proof of (a), the proof of (b) being similar. For simplicity write $S_i(t) \equiv T_n\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_i)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_i)\right)$, $i = 0, 1$.

As we know

$$T_n(x_i) = (-1)^{n-i}, \quad x_i = \cos \frac{n-i}{n} \pi, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n. \quad (10)$$

By the assumptions of the theorem $-1 = x_0 < s_0$, $s_1 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = 1$. Put

$$t_1 = x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_{i+1} = (x_i - \frac{1}{2}(1+s_0)) / (\frac{1}{2}(1-s_0)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{n+1}$ and

$$S_0(t_{n+1-i}) = \begin{cases} T_n(s_0) = c, & i = n \\ T_n(x_{n-i}) = (-1)^i, & i = 0, \dots, n-1, \end{cases}$$

which means $A_2(S_0) = n + 1$ with $f = 1$, $g = -1$ and $t^* = -1$, i.e., $\underline{u} = S_0$ by Theorem 1. Similarly $\bar{u} = -S_1$. Thus, if $P(-1) \leq c$, then $(-1)^n P(-1) \leq (-1)^n c$ for $n = 2m$ and $(-1)^n P(-1) \geq (-1)^n c$ for $n = 2m + 1$. The results to be desired follow from Theorem 2.

From Theorem 3 the following is immediate by Corollary 2.

COROLLARY 4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3 if $P(-1) = c$ but $P(t) \not\equiv T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_0)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_0))$ and $P(t) \not\equiv -T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_1)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_1))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & -T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_1)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_1)) \\ & < P(t) < T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_0)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_0)), \quad |t| > 1, \quad n = 2m, \\ & -T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_1)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_1)) \\ & < P(t) < T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_0)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_0)), \quad t > 1, \quad n = 2m + 1, \\ & T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_0)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_0)) \\ & < P(t) < -T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_1)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_1)), \quad t < -1, \quad n = 2m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Theorem 3 is an extension of the theorem by Rivlin and Shapiro [5], because we have

COROLLARY 5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, if $P(-1) = c$ with $0 \leq c \leq 1$ then

$$|P(t)| \leq |T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s))|, \quad |t| > 1, \quad (11)$$

where

$$s = \begin{cases} s_0, & n = 2m \\ s_1, & n = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Equality can occur in (11) if and only if

$$\begin{cases} P(t) = \begin{cases} T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s)), & n = 2m \\ -T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s)), & n = 2m + 1 \end{cases} & (c > 0) \\ P(t) = \pm T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s)) & (c = 0). \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 3 we see that

$$\underline{u}(t) = T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_0)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}(t) = -T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s_1)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s_1)).$$

For the case $0 \leq c < 1$ the corollary follows directly from Corollary 3 because $j = 1$.

For the case $c = 1$ we have that $s_0 = -1$ for $n = 2m$ and $s_1 = -1$ for $n = 2m + 1$, which means that $s = -1$ and $T_n(\frac{1}{2}(1-s)t + \frac{1}{2}(1+s)) \equiv T_n(t)$. Thus the corollary is a well known result.

IV

In this section the main result is

THEOREM 4. *Let P be a polynomial of degree at most $n = 2m$ ($m \geq 1$) such that $|P(t)| \leq 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$ and $P(0) = c$. Let s_0 and s_1 be the smallest values of t in $[0, 1)$ for which $T_n(s_0) = c$ and $T_n(s_1) = -c$, respectively. Then*

(a) For $|c| < 1$

$$-T_n(\sqrt{(1-s_1^2)t^2+s_1^2}) \leq P(t) \leq T_n(\sqrt{(1-s_0^2)t^2+s_0^2}), \quad |t| > 1.$$

Any of the equalities can occur for some t if and only if it occurs for any t .

(b) For $0 \leq c \leq 1$ and

$$s = \begin{cases} s_0, & m = 2k \\ s_1, & m = 2k + 1, \end{cases}$$

$$|P(t)| \leq |T_n(\sqrt{(1-s^2)t^2+s^2})|, \quad |t| > 1.$$

Equality can occur if and only if

$$\begin{cases} P(t) = \begin{cases} T_n(\sqrt{(1-s^2)t^2+s^2}), & m = 2k \\ -T_n(\sqrt{(1-s^2)t^2+s^2}), & m = 2k + 1 \end{cases} & (c > 0) \\ P(t) = \pm T_n(\sqrt{(1-s^2)t^2+s^2}) & (c = 0). \end{cases}$$

Proof. (a) For simplicity write $S_i(t) \equiv T_n(\sqrt{(1-s_i^2)t^2+s_i^2})$, $i = 0, 1$. Clearly $S_i(t)$ is symmetric with respect to t . Putting

$$t_{m+1} = 0, \quad -t_{m+1-i} = t_{m+1+i} = \sqrt{(x_{m+i}^2 - s_0^2)/(1-s_0^2)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where x_{m+i} 's are defined in (10), we have that $t_1 < \dots < t_{n+1}$ and

$$S_0(t_{m+1+i}) = \begin{cases} T_n(s_0) = c, & i = 0 \\ T_n(x_{m+i}) = (-1)^{m-i}, & i = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

This means by Theorem 1 that $\underline{u} = S_0$. Similarly we can show $\bar{u} = -S_1$. By Corollary 2 we obtain (a).

(b) Noting that $j = m + 1$, the conclusion follows directly from Corollary 3 for the case $0 \leq c < 1$.

For the case $c = 1$ we have that $s = 0$ and $T_n(\sqrt{(1-s^2)t^2+s^2}) \equiv T_n(t)$. The corollary is a well known result.

REFERENCES

1. S. KARLIN AND W. J. STUDDEN, "Tchebycheff Systems: With Applications in Analysis and Statistics," Interscience, New York, 1966.
2. Y. G. SHI, Best approximation having restricted ranges with nodes, *Math. Numer. Sinica* 2 (1980), 124-132 [Chinese].
3. J. R. RICE, "The Approximation of Functions," Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1964.
4. J. R. RICE, "The Approximation of Functions," Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
5. T. J. RIVLIN AND H. S. SHAPIRO, A unified approach to certain problems of approximation and minimization, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 9 (1961), 181-217.